

European Financial Stability Facility

Rating Report

Rating rationale and Outlook

The AA+/Stable rating of the European Financial Stability Facility (EFSF) reflects its strong shareholder support and 'moderate' intrinsic strength. In detail:

- Shareholder support: The EFSF benefits from having the largest European economies as its highly rated key shareholders, with a weighted average rating of AA-, and from a strong mechanism that provides guarantees and over-guarantees on its debt issuances. The share of the EFSF's maximum lending capacity covered by guarantors rated AA- (AA) or higher stands at around 109% (67%).
- Institutional profile: While the EFSF no longer engages in new programmes, its mandate remains important to euro area member states given its focus on providing financial assistance to crisis-hit countries.
- Financial profile: The EFSF benefits from a conservative liquidity management policy, predictable funding needs, excellent market access and low funding costs. For 2025 and 2026, funding needs are EUR 21.5bn and EUR 18bn, respectively.

Repayments on outstanding loans by the EFSF's three borrowers stretch over a long period with Portugal (A/Stable) expected to make its scheduled repayments from 2025 to 2040, Ireland (AA/Stable) from 2029 to 2042 and Greece (BBB/Stable) from 2023 to 2070. The first scheduled repayments of EUR 1.7bn per year were received from Greece since 2023.





Source: Scope Ratings

Foreign currency

Long-term issuer rating/Outlook

AA+/Stable

Senior unsecured debt

AA+/Stable

Short-term issuer rating/Outlook



Lead Analyst

Julian Zimmermann +49 69 6677389-89 j.zimmermann@scoperatings.com

Team Leader

Alvise Lennkh-Yunus +49 69 6677389-85 a.lennkh@scoperatings.com



Credit Strengths and Challenges

•	Highly rated shareholders

- Strong guarantee mechanism
- Favourable access to capital markets

Credit strengths

Credit challenges

- Concentrated sovereign borrower portfolio
- Concentrated shareholder base

Table of contents

- I. Shareholder support: European Financial Stability Facility
- II. Indicative rating: 'aaa/aa'
- III. Climate risks
- IV. Scope's supranational scorecard
- V. Asset quality assessment
- VI. Statistical table

Rating Outlook and Sensitivities

The Stable Outlook reflects Scope's view that risks to the ratings are balanced.

Positive rating divers

Negative rating drivers

- Upgrades to key shareholders
- Significant and permanent increase in cash buffers
- Downgrade of Germany or any other two key shareholders

Figure 2: Rating history¹



¹Foreign-currency long-term issuer rating. Positive/Negative Outlooks are treated with a +/-0.33-notch adjustment. Credit Watch positive/negative with a +/-0.67-notch adjustment. Source: Scope Ratings.



I. Shareholder support: European Financial Stability Facility

Key shareholders

Key shareholders	Rating	Relative releva	Relative relevance (%)				
Rey shareholders	Rating	Original	Adjusted				
Germany	AAA/Stable	29.1	35.1				
France	AA-/Stable	21.9	26.4				
Italy	BBB+/Stable	19.2	23.2				
Spain	A/Stable	12.8	15.4				
		83.0	100.0				
Key member rating		I	AA-				

Extraordinary support

The EFSF has a strong institutional setup with an over-guarantee of up to 165% of the maximum lending capacity of EUR 440bn. The share of the EFSF's maximum lending capacity covered by guarantors rated AA- (AA) or higher stands at around 109% (67%).

If either Germany's sovereign rating or any two of the other key shareholders' ratings were downgraded, all other things equal, it would lead to a lower weighted average key shareholder rating of A+ and therefore a one-notch downgrade of the EFSF's long-term rating.

Shareholder guarantees

EUR m, latest

EFSF Shareholders	Guarantees	Key (%)	Over- guarantees (%)	Rating	Guarantees ≥ AA- (% of max. lending capacity)	Guarantees ≥ AA (% of max. lending capacity)
Germany	211,045.9	29.1	48.0	AAA/Stable	48.0	48.0
France	158,487.5	21.9	36.0	AA-/Stable	36.0	-
Italy	139,267.8	19.2	31.7	BBB+/Stable	-	-
Spain	92,543.6	12.8	21.0	A/Stable	-	-
Netherlands	44,446.3	6.1	10.1	AAA/Stable	10.1	10.1
Belgium	27,032.0	3.7	6.1	AA-/Negative	6.1	-
Austria	21,639.2	3.0	4.9	AA+/Stable	4.9	4.9
Finland	13,974.0	1.9	3.2	AA+/Stable	3.2	3.2
Slovakia	7,727.6	1.1	1.8	A/Negative	-	-
Slovenia	3,664.3	0.5	0.8	A+/Stable	-	-
Estonia	1,994.9	0.3	0.5	A+/Stable	-	-
Luxembourg	1,946.9	0.3	0.4	AAA/Stable	0.4	0.4
Malta	704.3	0.1	0.2	A+/Stable	-	-
Cyprus	-	-	-	A-/Stable	-	-
Ireland	-	-	-	AA/Stable	-	-
Greece	-	-	-	BBB/Stable	-	-
Portugal	-	-	-	A/Stable	-	-
Total	724,474.3	100.0	164.7		108.8	66.6

Greece, Ireland, Portugal and Cyprus stepped out of the facility while Latvia and Lithuania never joined. Source: EFSF, Scope Ratings



II. Indicative rating: 'aaa/aa'

Institutional and financial profiles for the EFSF

Intri	nsic Credit Profile	l.	l	nstitutional Profi	le	
	insic credit Prome	Excellent Strong		Adequate	Moderate	Weak
	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Very Strong	Very Strong
	Very Strong	Excellent	Very Strong	Very Strong	Very Strong	Strong
Profile	Strong	Very Strong	Strong	Strong	Strong	Adequate
icial Pr	Adequate	Strong	Adequate	Adequate	Adequate	Moderate
Financial	Moderate	Adequate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Weak
	Weak	ak Moderate W		Weak	Weak	Very Weak
	Very Weak	Weak	Very Weak	Very Weak	Very Weak	Very Weak

Mapping intrinsic credit profile and shareholder support for the EFSF

Indi	cative			Int	rinsic Credit Pro	file						
Rati	ng	Excellent	Very Strong	Strong	Adequate	Moderate	Weak	Very Weak				
	ааа	ааа	ааа	ааа	ааа	ааа	aaa / aa+	aa+ / a+				
	aa+	ааа	ааа	ааа	ааа	ааа	aaa / aa	aa / a				
	аа	ааа	ааа	ааа	ааа	aaa / aa+	aa+ / aa-	aa- / a-				
	aa-	ааа	ааа	ааа	ааа	aaa / aa	aa / a+	a+/bbb+				
	a+	ааа	ааа	ааа	ааа	ааа	ааа	ааа	aaa / aa+	aa+ / aa-	aa- / a	a / bbb
	а	ааа	ааа	ааа	aaa / aa	aa / a+	a+ / a-	a- / bbb-				
÷	a-	ааа	ааа	aaa / aa+	aa+ / aa-	aa- / a	a / bbb+	bbb+/bb+				
Shareholder Support	bbb+	ааа	ааа	aaa / aa	aa / a+	a+ / a-	a- / bbb	bbb / bb				
older S	bbb	ааа	aaa / aa+	aa+ / aa-	aa- / a	a / bbb+	bbb+ / bbb-	bbb- / bb-				
areho	bbb-	ааа	aaa / aa	aa / a+	a+ / a-	a- / bbb	bbb / bb+	bb+ / b+				
Ś	bb+	aaa / aa+	aa+ / aa-	aa- / a	a / bbb+	bbb+ / bbb-	bbb- / bb	bb / b				
	bb	aaa / aa	aa / a+	a+ / a-	a- / bbb	bbb / bb+	bb+ / bb-	bb- / b-				
	bb-	aa+ / aa-	aa- / a	a / bbb+	bbb+ / bbb-	bbb- / bb	bb / b+	b+/ccc				
	b+	aa / a+	a+/a-	a- / bbb	bbb / bb+	bb+/bb-	bb- / b	b / ccc				
	b	aa- / a	a / bbb+	bbb+ / bbb-	bbb- / bb	bb / b+	b+ / b-	b- / ccc				
	b-	a+/a-	a- / bbb	bbb / bb+	bb+ / bb-	bb- / b	b / ccc	ссс				
	ссс	a / bbb+	bbb+ / bbb-	bbb- / bb	bb / b+	b+/b-	b-/ccc	ссс				



III. Climate risks

Nethodology input / assumptions	EFSF	Output / calculations		
itial portfolio quality	% of lending portfolio	Weighted average borrower quality		
overeigns	100%	BBB+		
on-financial Corporates	0%	N/A		
nancial Institutions	0%	N/A		
ublic Sector	0%	N/A		
otal	100%	BBB+		
Transition risks: NFC				
ectors with high transition risks	% of NFC	o/w aligned with Paris Agreement	% of NFC with high transition risk	
l & gas	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
ower generation (oil, coal)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
etals & mining (coal & steel)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
trochemicals, cement & concrete manufacture	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
otal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Physical risks: NFC				
ountries: ND-GAIN percentile	Physical risk assessment	% portfolio in countries	Assumed share of NFC with high physical risk*	% of NFC with high physical risk
0.00	Very High	0%	100%	0.0%
0.10	High	0%	75%	0.0%
0.25	Medium	0%	50%	0.0%
0.50	Moderate	0%	25%	0.0%
0.75	Low	0%	5%	0.0%
0.90	Very Low	0%	0%	0.0%
his share is assumed and fixed.	Portfolio coverage	0%		0.0%
'High' climate risks (NFC portfolio)				
	% of NFC			
ansition risks	0.0%			
ysical risks	0.0%			
,	0.0%			
Adjustment for maturity	0.070		5. Notches adjustment to avg. NFC borrower quality	
g. maturity of portfolio	Adjustment		Notches	% of NFC with high climate risks
< 1Y	100%		0	≤ 25%
> 1Y; < 7Y	50%		-1	≥ 25%; ≤ 50%
> 7Y	0%		-2	> 50%
Average maturity of NFC loan portfolio*	N/A			
f unavailable, proxied with total loan portfolio.				
Adj. high climate risk exposure, % of NFC	0.0%		Adjustment (notches)	0
			,	
Final portfolio quality (climate risk adjusted)		Defens climate excelit vick	A diverse of few eliments are district.	
	% of total exposure	Before climate credit risk	Adjusted for climate credit risk	
overeigns	100%	BBB+	bbb+	
on-financial Corporates	0%	N/A	N/A	
nancial Institutions	0%	N/A	N/A	
blic Sector	0%	N/A	N/A	
otal	100%	BBB+	BBB+	
urce: EFSF, Scope Ratings				

Source: EFSF, Scope Ratings



IV. Scope's supranational scorecard

	Analytical Pillar		Variables	Unit									EFSF		
			Valiables	onit	+4	+3	+2	+1	0	-1	-2	Value	Assessment	Notches	
**) **)	Key shareholder rating (90%)	Weighted average ra	ating of key shareholders	AAA - CCC									AA-		
nolde t (*,	Key shareholders & exposures	Share of portfolio re	lated to key shareholders	%					≤ 50	> 50		0	Low	0	aa-
Shareholder Support (*,**)	Extraordinary support (10%)	Additional support mechanisms		Qualitative			Very Strong	Strong	N/A				N/A	0	
Sul	Shareholder Support (*,**)												aa-		
()			Importance of mandate	Qualitative				Very High	High	Declining			High		
(15%		Mandate (50%)	Social factors	Qualitative				Strong	Medium/ N/A	Weak			Strong	0	Medium
ofile	Mandate & ESG		Environmental factors	Qualitative				Strong	Medium/ N/A	Weak			Medium/ N/A		
al Pr			Shareholder concentration	HHI					≤ 1500	> 1500		3200	Moderate/Weak		
file (*,**) Institutional Profile (15%)		Governance (50%)	Shareholder control	%					≤ 25	> 25		29	Moderate/Weak	0	Medium
Intrinsic Credit Profile (*,**) rofile (85%) Institutio			Strategy and internal controls	Qualitative				Strong	Medium	Weak			Strong		
L Lofi	Institutional Profile (15%)										Adequate	e			
dit P		Liquid assets ratio		%	> 100	≤ 100; > 75	≤ 75; > 50	≤ 50; > 25	≤ 25; > 15	≤ 15; > 10	≤ 10	20	Moderate	0	
: Cre 35%)	Liquidity & funding (55%)	Funding access, flex	Qualitative	Excellent	Very Strong	Strong	Adequate	Moderate	Weak	Very Weak	Adequate	Adequate	1	Adequate	
rinsid ile (8		Trend (-1; +1)												0	
Prof		Portfolio quality	Incl. risk mitigants	Qualitative		Excellent	Very Strong	Strong	Adequate	Moderate	Weak	Adequate	Adequate	0	
Icial	Asset quality (45%)	Asset performance	NPLs	% total loans		≤ 1	> 1; ≤ 3	> 3; ≤ 5	> 5; ≤ 7	> 7; ≤ 10	> 10	0.0	Excellent	3	Strong
Intrinsic Cree Financial Profile (85%)		Trend (-1; +1)												0	
	Financial Profile (85%)												Moderate	9	
	Intrinsic Credit Profile (*,**)												Moderate	9	
			I	Indicative Rating									aaa / aa		
	Additional considerations (-1; +1)												Neutral		
				Final Rating									AA+		

Figures in the financial profile refer to three-year weighted averages for 2022-24.

* The indicative rating from the 'Shareholder support' assessment ranging from aaa - ccc is mapped non-linearly to the intrinsic credit profile assessment.

** Weights are approximated and for illustrative purposes.

Given the EFSF's favourable access to capital markets and the volatile nature of its liquid assets (which are mostly due to pre-funding), Scope overwrites the temporary signal from its scorecard, which signals a lower assessment for the 'liquid assets ratio'. Source: Scope Ratings



V. Asset quality assessment

Portfolio quality (initial assessment)	Excellent	Very Strong	Strong	Adequate	Moderate	Weak
Indicative borrower quality	ааа	аа	а	bbb	bb	b

Adjus	stments	Indicator	Indicator	A	ssessment						
Points			+5	+4	+3	+2	+1	0	-1	-2	-3
Over dist Dueste estien	Sovereign PCS		400	. 00	- 60	≥ 40		< 20			
Credit Protection F	Private sector secured	% of gross loans	100	≥ 80	≥ 60	≥ 40	≥ 20	< 20			
	Geography	ННІ				≤ 1000	≤ 2000	> 2000			
Diversification	Sector	ННІ					≤ 2000	> 2000			
	Top 10 exposures	% of gross loans				≤ 25	≤ 75	> 75			
Equity Exposure		% of equity						≤ 25	> 25	> 50	> 75

Total points	+0
Adjustments	+0 categories

Portfolio quality (final assessment)	Excellent	Very Strong	Strong	Adequate	Moderate	Weak
Notches	3	2	1	0	-1	-2

Note: Three points usually correspond to one assessment category. In the case of the EFSF, there are no changes from the initial portfolio quality assessment based on the estimated average borrower quality. Source: Scope Ratings



VI. Statistical table

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Shareholder support							
Key shareholder rating	AA-						
Share of shareholders rated \geq AA- (%)	66.1%	66.1%	66.3%	66.3%	66.3%	66.3%	66.1%
Liquidity (EUR bn)							
Cash and cash equivalents	7.9	10.1	7.1	2.6	5.5	4.3	1.2
Treasury financial assets	1.4	1.4	1.5	0.0	0.6	1.2	1.1
Liabilities maturing within 12 months	22.3	24.6	24.1	16.6	23.7	26.5	24.9
Disbursements over the next 12 months	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Liquid assets ratio (%)	41.9%	46.7%	35.7%	15.6%	25.8%	20.6%	9.1%
Funding (EUR bn)							
Volume	28.0	20.0	19.5	16.5	19.5	20.0	20.0
Share of total (%)							
EUR	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
ESG issuance	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maturity gap (EUR bn)							
Total financial assets (1-5 years)	12.3	12.8	14.2	16.3	17.9	19.4	21.4
Total financial liabilities (1-5 years)	78.6	82.3	79.7	85.9	84.8	81.5	79.9
Maturity gap	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3
Asset quality (EUR bn)							
Total gross loans (carrying value)	184.8	184.0	185.1	185.9	186.8	186.4	186.4
Greece	138.6	139.9	141.0	141.9	142.9	142.5	142.4
Portugal	27.5	25.5	25.5	25.4	25.4	25.5	25.5
Ireland	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.5	18.5	18.5
Non-performing loans	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% of total loans	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average borrower quality	BB	BB+	BB+	BBB-	BBB-	BBB+	BBB+

Source: EFSF, Scope Ratings



Lead Analyst Julian Zimmermann +49 69 6677389-89 j.zimmermann@scoperatings.com Team Leader Alvise Lennkh-Yunus +49 69 6677389-85 a.lennkh@scoperatings.com

Applied methodology

Supranational Rating Methodology, May 2025

Scope Ratings GmbH

Lennéstraße 5, D-10785 Berlin Phone: +49 30 27891-0 Fax: +49 30 27891-100 info@scoperatings.com Scope Ratings UK Limited

52 Grosvenor Gardens London SW1W 0AU Phone: +44 20 7824 5180 info@scoperatings.com in Bloomberg: RESP SCOP Scope contacts scoperatings.com

Disclaimer

© 2025 Scope SE & Co. KGaA and all its subsidiaries including Scope Ratings GmbH, Scope Ratings UK Limited, Scope Fund Analysis GmbH, Scope Innovation Lab GmbH and Scope ESG Analysis GmbH (collectively, Scope). All rights reserved. The information and data supporting Scope's ratings, rating reports, rating opinions and related research and credit opinions originate from sources Scope considers to be reliable and accurate. Scope does not, however, independently verify the reliability and accuracy of the information and data. Scope's ratings, rating reports, rating opinions, or related research and credit opinions are provided 'as is' without any representation or warranty of any kind. In no circumstance shall Scope or its directors, officers, employees and other representatives be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental or other damages, expenses of any kind, or losses arising from any use of Scope's ratings, rating reports, rating opinions, related research or credit opinions. Ratings and other related credit opinions issued by Scope are, and have to be viewed by any party as, opinions on relative credit risk and not a statement of fact or recommendation to purchase, hold or sell securities. Past performance does not necessarily predict future results. Any report issued by Scope is not a prospectus or similar document related to a debt security or issuing entity. Scope issues credit ratings and related research and opinions with the understanding and expectation that parties using them will assess independently the suitability of each security for investment or transaction purposes. Scope's credit ratings address relative credit risk, they do not address other risks such as market, liquidity, legal, or volatility. The information and data included herein is protected by copyright and other laws. To reproduce, transmit, transfer, disseminate, translate, resell, or store for subsequent use for any such purpose the information and data contained herein, contact Scope Ratings GmbH at Lennéstraße 5,