Sovereign & Public Sector

26 April 2024



Republic of Türkiye

Rating report

Rating rationale

A durably more restrictive policy stance. The first driver of the outlook change reflects Türkiye's more consistent policymaking, which was initiated following general elections of May 2023 and continued throughout local elections of March 2024. Consistent monetary policy tightening enhances the credibility, independence, and effectiveness of the CBRT in pursuing a disinflationary course, thereby reducing the risk of policy reversal. Moreover, bolstered fiscal discipline, with a projected primary balance by 2026, should stabilise general government debt over the medium term.

Progressive rebalancing of the economy. The second driver of the outlook change reflects the gradual rebalancing of the economy, which lowers the likelihood of balance-of-payments and/or financial crisis. A durably more restrictive policy stance is expected to shift domestic economic activity towards investment and exports, diminishing reliance on private consumption. This shift should also facilitate funding for reduced external gross financing needs and enhance confidence in lira denominated assets. Real GDP growth is projected to slow down to 3.2% in 2024, from 4.5% in 2023, and 3.3% in 2025.

Rating challenges include: i) high inflation, alongside negative real policy rate, underscoring the necessary continuation of conventional monetary and economic policies to support the economy's long-run sustainability; ii) significant external-sector vulnerabilities, including current-account deficits, substantial exposure to lira depreciation and periods of capital outflows due to inadequate net international reserves; and iii) institutional challenges, elevated geopolitical risks as well as high regional instability.

Figure 1: Türkiye's sovereign rating drivers

Risk pillars -		Quant	Quantitative		Reserve currency* risk**		Final rating	Final rating	
		Weight	Indicative rating	Notches	Notches	Notches	(foreign currency)	(local currency)	
Domestic economic risk		35%	а			-1/3			
Public finance risk		20%	а		Türkiye	-2/3	D	B	
Exter	External economic risk		ссс	TRY		-1			
Finan	Financial stability risk		aaa			- 2/3			
	Environmental factors		bb	[+0]	[-2]	- 1/3	B-	D	
ESG risk	Social factors	7.5%	a-				0		
lion	Governance factors	12.5%	ссс			- 1/3			
Sovereign Quantitative Model bl			b-		-3				
Addit	ional considerations****						-3	-2	

*The reserve-currency quantitative adjustment applies to currencies in the IMF's Special Drawing Rights (SDR) basket. **The political-risk quantitative adjustment is based on the World Bank's Political Stability & Absence of Violence/Terrorism index. ***The qualitative scorecard analyst adjustments, capped at one notch per rating pillar, are weighted equally with an aggregate adjustment rounded to the nearest integer. ****For Türkiye, an extraordinary two-notch downside adjustment is applied across foreign- and local-currency long-term ratings to account for years of significant weaknesses in macro-financial management and lower albeit still high economic imbalances. A further one-notch downside adjustment is applied for foreign-currency issuer and senior unsecured long-term ratings to account for lower albeit still high balance-of-payment risks. For details, please see Scope's Sovereign Rating Methodology. Source: Scope Ratings.

Foreign currency

Long-term issuer rating/Outlook



Senior unsecured debt



Short-term issuer rating/Outlook



Local currency

Long-term issuer rating/Outlook

B/Positive

Senior unsecured debt



Short-term issuer rating/Outlook

S-4/Stable

Lead Analyst

Thomas Gillet +33 186 261 874 t.gillet@scoperatings.com

Team Leader

Alvise Lennkh-Yunus +49 69 6677389-85

a.lennkh@scoperatings.com



Credit strengths and challenges

Credit strengths	Credit challenges
Low to moderate levels of public debt	Record of unorthodox policies, persistently very high inflation
Resilient banking system	Elevated external-sector vulnerabilities
Large and diversified economy	Institutional challenges, elevated geopolitical risks and high
Comparatively high potential growth	regional instability

Outlook and rating triggers

The Positive Outlook reflects Scope's view that risks to the ratings are titled to the upside.

	Positive rating-change drivers		Negative rating-change drivers
•	Current policy normalisation is continued in a consistent manner, durably enhancing the predictability and effectiveness of macro- economic policymaking, and raising the prospects for a sustained	•	Failure to maintain a restrictive monetary policy stance and fiscal discipline threatens the disinflation process and the gradual rebalancing of the economy
•	decline in inflation External vulnerabilities are reduced, due, for example, to a narrowing of current account deficits, an increase in capital	•	External vulnerabilities increase significantly, due, for example, to a sharp decline in net international reserves, increasing the likelihood of balance-of-payments and/or financial crisis
	inflows, and higher international reserves	•	Severe domestic political pressure and/or an acute deterioration in security conditions and international relations adversely impact the economy



Figure 2: Rating history¹

Foreign-currency long-term issuer rating. Positive/Negative Outlooks are treated with a +/-0.33-notch adjustment. Credit Watch positive/negative with a +/-0.67-notch adjustment. Source: Scope Ratings.



Domestic economic risk

Overview of Scope's assessments of Türkiye's Domestic Economic Risk

SQM* indicative rating	Analytical component	Assessment	Notch adjustment	Rationale
	Growth potential and outlook	Neutral	0	Robust growth potential, but constraints given large economic imbalances; record of unorthodox policies balanced by a track record of more conventional policymaking
а	Monetary policy framework Neutral		0	Track record of unconventional monetary-policy and frequent changes in central-bank governance balanced by sustained policy pivot improving credibility, independence, and effectiveness of the central-bank
	Macroeconomic stability and Weak	Weak	-1/3	Large and diversified economy; however, significant macro- economic imbalances including very high inflation and low labour-force participation

Figure 3: Nominal GDP and GDP per capita



Figure 4: Real GDP growth, %



Source: IMF WEO, Scope Ratings forecasts

Public finance risk

Overview of Scope's assessments of Türkiye's Public Finance Risk

SQM indicative rating	Analytical component	Assessment	Notch adjustment	Rationale
	Fiscal policy framework	Neutral	0	Stronger fiscal discipline balanced by wage and pension revalorisation, and earthquake related spending
а	Long-term debt trajectory	Weak	-1/3	Low to moderate debt; shift of borrowing strategy towards lira denominated securities mitigates exposure to currency depreciation, but trajectory exposed to higher interest rates
	Debt profile and market access	profile and market access Weak		Developed domestic capital markets but elevated FX exposure in liabilities, higher financing costs

Figure 5: Contributions to change in debt levels, pps of GDP



Figure 6: Debt-to-GDP forecasts, % of GDP



Source: IMF WEO, Scope Ratings forecasts

*Sovereign Quantitative Model.

Source: IMF WEO, Scope Ratings forecasts



External economic risk

Overview of Scope's assessments of Türkiye's External Economic Risk

SQM indicative rating	Analytical component	Assessment	Notch adjustment	Rationale
	Current account resilience	Weak	-1/3	Diversified exports; lower albeit persistent current-account deficits amid exposure to volatile energy and commodity import prices
ссс	External debt structure	Weak	-1/3	Substantial short-term external debt; improving but negative net international investment position
	Resilience to short-term external shocks	Weak	-1/3	Inadequate reserve coverage; significant net foreign liabilities of the central-bank excluding foreign-currency swaps with commercial banks

Figure 7: Current-account balance, % of GDP



Figure 8: Net international investment position (NIIP), % GDP



Source: IMF WEO forecasts, Scope Ratings

Source: IMF, Scope Ratings

Financial stability risk

Overview of Scope's assessments of Türkiye's Financial Stability Risk

SQM indicative rating	Analytical component	Assessment	Notch adjustment	Rationale
	Banking sector performance	Neutral	0	Resilient banking system able to provide significant liquidity to the sovereign, low NPLs, but lira depreciation could weigh on capitalisation ratios
ааа	Financial sector oversight and governance	Weak	-1/3	Ongoing simplification of macroprudential framework follows years of state-coerced banking system interventions in exchange rate and credit conditions
	Financial imbalances	Weak	-1/3	Moderate private-sector debt, but large sovereign-bank nexus, lower albeit still significant net FX liabilities of corporates

Figure 9: Non-performing loans (NPLs), % of total loans



Figure 10: Tier 1 capital, % of risk-weighted assets



Source: World Bank (WB), Scope Ratings

Source: IMF, Scope Ratings



Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) risk

Overview of Scope's assessments of Türkiye's ESG Risk

SQM indicative rating	Analytical component	Assessment	Notch adjustment	Rationale
	Environmental factors	Weak	-1/3	Significant exposure to a broad range of natural risks; high economic reliance on fossil fuels; weaker preparedness for climate change
b+	Social factors	Neutral	0	Relatively favourable demographics, high income inequality, progress in reducing poverty levels and improving educational outcomes
	Governance factors	Weak	-1/3	Significant institutional challenges; risks compounded by geopolitical tensions and high regional instability

Figure 11: CO₂ emissions per GDP, mtCO₂e



Figure 12: Old-age dependency ratio, %



Source: European Commission (EC), Scope Ratings

Reserve-currency adjustment

Figure 13: IMF SDR basket and Scope reserve-currency adjustment

Currency	U.S. dollar	Euro	Chinese yuan	Japanese yen	Pound sterling	Other
IMF SDR basket weights, $\%$	43.4	29.3	12.3	7.6	7.4	0.0
Positive adjustment, notches	3	1	1	1	1	0

Source: IMF, Scope Ratings

Political-risk adjustment

Figure 14: WB Political Stability & Absence of Violence/Terrorism index, Türkiye, 3-year moving average



Source: WB, Scope Ratings



Additional considerations

An extraordinary two-notch downside adjustment is applied across foreign- and local-currency long-term ratings to account for years of significant weaknesses in macro-financial management and lower albeit still high economic imbalances. A further one-notch downside adjustment is applied for foreign-currency issuer and senior unsecured long-term ratings to account for lower albeit still high balance-of-payment risks.

Appendix I. Sovereign default history

Figure 15: Sovereign default history, USD m



Appendix II. Rating peers

Rating peers are related to sovereigns with an indicative rating in the same rating category or adjacent categories, as assigned by Scope's sovereign quantitative model after accounting for methodological reserve-currency and political-risk adjustments.

Peer group*
China
Georgia
Greece
Serbia

*Select publicly-rated sovereigns only; the full sample of sovereign-rating peers may be larger.

Appendix III. Economic development and default indicators

IMF Development Classification	Emerging market and developing economy
5y USD CDS spread (bp) as of 25 April 2024	307.9



Appendix IV. Statistical table for selected SQM indicators

This table presents a selection of the indicators (24 out of 30 – with the governance indicator reflecting a composite of five indicators) used in Scope's quantitative model, in line with Scope's Sovereign Rating Methodology. The metrics and sources for the data presented here ensure comparability across global country peers and may therefore differ from data from national and other select international statistical series.

Pillar	Core variable	Source	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
mic	GDP per capita (PPP), USD '000s	IMF	29.3	29.6	30.4	35.0	39.3	42.1
Domestic Economic	Nominal GDP, USD bn	IMF	780	761	720	818	906	1,108
	Real growth, %	IMF	3.0	0.8	1.9	11.4	5.5	4.5
nest	CPI inflation, %	IMF	16.3	15.2	12.3	19.6	72.3	53.9
Don	Unemployment rate, %	WB	10.9	13.7	13.1	12.0	10.4	9.6
<u>ں ۵</u>	Public debt, % of GDP	IMF	29.9	32.4	39.4	40.4	30.8	28.9
Public Finance	Net interest payment, % of government revenue	IMF	4.9	6.3	6.5	6.0	4.7	6.5
	Primary balance, % of GDP	IMF	-1.7	-2.9	-2.8	-1.3	0.1	-3.7
le je	Current-account balance, % of GDP	IMF	-2.6	1.4	-4.4	-0.9	-5.4	-4.1
External Economic	Total reserves, months of imports	WB	4.2	5.2	4.6	4.4	3.7	-
шщ	NIIP, % of GDP	IMF	-43.1	-40.6	-53.1	-30.6	-34.9	-
ty al	NPL ratio, % of total loans	IMF	3.7	5.0	3.9	3.0	2.0	-
Financial Stability	Tier 1 ratio, % of risk-weighted assets	IMF	13.9	13.3	14.9	15.0	17.2	15.3
도 와	Credit to the private sector, % of GDP	WB	67.5	65.5	75.2	72.4	54.5	-
	CO. per EUR 1,000 of GDP, mtCO.e	EC	185.2	177.2	173.9	169.2	169.2	-
	Income share of bottom 50%, %	WID	13.7	14.4	13.7	14.2	14.2	-
ڻ ن	Labour-force participation rate, %	WB	57.7	57.7	54.0	56.3	58.3	-
ESG	Old-age dependency ratio, %	UN	11.1	11.7	12.0	12.3	12.7	13.1
	Composite governance indicators*	WB	-0.3	-0.3	-0.4	-0.4	-0.5	-
	Political stability, index	WB	-1.3	-1.4	-1.1	-1.1	-1.0	-

*Average of the following five World Bank Worldwide Governance Indicators: Control of Corruption, Voice and Accountability, Rule of Law, Government Effectiveness, Regulatory Quality.

Scope Ratings GmbH

 Lennéstraße 5
 Phone: +49 30 27891-0

 D-10785 Berlin
 Fax: +49 30 27891-100

 scoperatings.com
 info@scoperatings.com

in Bloomberg: RESP SCOP Scope contacts

Disclaimer

© 2024 Scope SE & Co. KGaA and all its subsidiaries including Scope Ratings GmbH, Scope Ratings UK Limited, Scope Fund Analysis GmbH, and Scope ESG Analysis GmbH (collectively, Scope). All rights reserved. The information and data supporting Scope's ratings, rating reports, rating opinions and related research and credit opinions originate from sources Scope considers to be reliable and accurate. Scope does not, however, independently verify the reliability and accuracy of the information and data. Scope's ratings, rating reports, or related research and credit opinions are provided 'as is' without any representation or warranty of any kind. In no circumstance shall Scope or its directors, officers, employees and other representatives be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental or other damages, expenses of any kind, or losses arising from any use of Scope's ratings, rating reports, rating opinions, related research or credit opinions. Ratings and other related credit opinions issued by Scope are, and have to be viewed by any party as, opinions on relative credit risk and not a statement of fact or recommendation to purchase, hold or sell securities. Past performance does not necessarily predict future results. Any report issuing entity. Scope is not a prospectus or similar document related to a debt security or issuing entity. Scope issues credit ratings and related research and opinions with the understanding and expectation that parties using them will assess independently the suitability of each security for investment or transaction purposes. Scope's credit ratings address relative credit risk, they do not address other risks such as market, liquidity, legal, or volatility. The information and data included herein is protected by copyright and other laws. To reproduce, transmit, transfer, disseminate, translate, resell, or store for subsequent use for any such purpose the information and data contained herein, contact Scope Ratings GmbH at Lennéstraße 5, D- 10785 Berlin.