

Republic of Georgia

Rating report

Rating rationale

Strong growth outlook. After a robust post-pandemic recovery, with above-10% annual growth in both 2021 and 2022, the Georgian economy grew 7.8% in 2023 and 9.4% in 2024, benefitting from continued positive economic spill-over from the Russia-Ukraine war. The economy is furthermore supported by strong medium-run economic-growth potential (estimated at 5%), driven by strong dynamics in private consumption, tourism-sector receipts and investment. Foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows have recently weakened and remain under pre-Covid crisis levels as a share of GDP.

Historical engagement with multilateral institutions. Georgia has historically engaged with its multilateral partners (such as the IMF and the European Union), but the IMF programme has been suspended since the middle of 2023 due to concerns surrounding the independence of the central bank. The European Council granted Georgia EU candidate status on the understanding that a specific set of conditions for the country would be undertaken, but this EU accession process has since been suspended after the passage of the 'foreign-agent' law in May 2024.

Strong government-debt profile. Georgian public debt is largely owed to official-sector creditors, and is on concessional terms. This results in long average sovereign debt maturities, modest interest costs and reduced refinancing risks.

Rating challenges: i) domestic institutional risks as October-2024 parliamentary elections have further divided the nation; ii) sustained geopolitical risks after Russia's escalation of war on Ukraine; iii) vulnerability to external shocks due to the small size of the economy (nominal GDP of an estimated USD 35bn in 2025) alongside the elevated reliance on external financing; and iv) financial-stability risks associated with the significant dollarisation of the economy.

Figure 1: Georgia's sovereign-rating drivers

Risk pillars	Quantitative		Reserve currency*	Political risk**	Qualitative***	Final rating
	Weight	Indicative rating	Notches	Notches	Notches	
Domestic economic risk	35%	bbb+	GEL	Georgia	0	BB
Public finance risk	20%	aa			0	
External economic risk	10%	c			- 2/3	
Financial stability risk	10%	aaa			0	
ESG risk	Environmental factors	5%	[+0]	[-1]	0	
	Social factors	7.5%			0	
	Governance factors	12.5%	a-			
Sovereign Quantitative Model****			bbb		-1	
Additional considerations*****					-2	

*The reserve-currency quantitative adjustment applies to currencies in the IMF's Special Drawing Rights (SDR) basket.
 **The political-risk quantitative adjustment is based on the World Bank's Political Stability & Absence of Violence/Terrorism index.
 ***The qualitative scorecard analyst adjustments, capped at one notch per rating pillar, are weighted equally with an aggregate adjustment rounded to the nearest integer.
 ****The Rating Committee approved an indicative SQM rating of 'bbb'.
 *****Additional considerations represent minus one rating notch for extraordinary geopolitical risk(s) and one negative notch for domestic institutional risks including the risk of escalation of sanctions.
 For details, please see Scope's [Sovereign Rating Methodology](#). Source: Scope Ratings.

Foreign currency

Long-term issuer rating/Outlook

BB/Negative

Senior unsecured debt

BB/Negative

Short-term issuer rating/Outlook

S-3/Stable

Local currency

Long-term issuer rating/Outlook

BB/Negative

Senior unsecured debt

BB/Negative

Short-term issuer rating/Outlook

S-3/Stable

Lead Analyst

Thomas Gillet
 +49 30 278911-25
t.gillet@scoperatings.com

Team Leader

Alvise Lennkh-Yunus
 +49 69 6677389-85
a.lennkh@scoperatings.com

Credit strengths and challenges


Credit strengths	Credit challenges
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Moderate government debt and strong public debt structure Solid medium-run economic growth potential and very-strong recent growth Strengthened macroeconomic-policy framework 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Domestic institutional risks, including escalating sanctions Heightened geopolitical risks Elevated vulnerability to external shock(s) and a reliance upon external financing The elevated dollarisation

Outlook and rating triggers

The Negative Outlook reflects Scope’s view that risks for the ratings are skewed on the downside.

Positive rating-change drivers	Negative rating-change drivers
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Institutional risks are addressed and sanctions risks reduced A significant reduction of security risks Reduction in external-sector risks 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The further weakening of institutions and/or additional sanctions Escalation of geopolitical risks Increases in external-sector vulnerabilities Rise of medium-run government debt trajectory

Figure 2: Rating history




Foreign-currency long-term issuer rating. Positive/Negative Outlooks are treated with a +/-0.33-notch adjustment. Credit Watch positive/negative with a +/-0.67-notch adjustment. Source: Scope Ratings.

Domestic economic risk

Overview of Scope's assessments of Georgia's Domestic Economic Risk


SQM ¹ indicative rating	Analytical component	Assessment	Notch adjustment	Rationale
bbb+	Growth potential and outlook	Strong	+1/3	Recent significant outperformance of economic growth; strong medium-run growth potential of around 5% annually
	Monetary policy framework	Neutral	0	A track record of prudent management of inflation, but policy flexibility is constrained by dollarisation and present concerns exist around central-bank independence
	Macroeconomic stability and sustainability	Weak	-1/3	Strong FDI and domestic investment, but limited economic diversification, a small, open economy, low domestic savings, elevated unemployment, and labour-force concentration in low-productivity sectors

Figure 3: Nominal GDP and GDP per capita (2024E)



Source: IMF World Economic Outlook (WEO), Scope Ratings

Figure 4: Real GDP growth, %




Source: IMF WEO, Scope Ratings forecasts

Public finance risk

Overview of Scope's assessments of Georgia's Public Finance Risk


SQM indicative rating	Analytical component	Assessment	Notch adjustment	Rationale
aa	Fiscal policy framework	Neutral	0	A track record of moderate fiscal deficits; reduced cooperation with the IMF may affect fiscal prudence
	Long-term debt trajectory	Neutral	0	Expected stabilisation in sovereign debt ratios in the medium run, but debt trajectory is exposed to significant exchange-rate risks
	Debt profile and market access	Neutral	0	More than two thirds of government debt owed to official-sector lenders on concessional terms; a track record of sound access to concessional loan financing but this access is increasingly in jeopardy

Figure 5: Contributions to change in debt levels, pps of GDP



Source: IMF WEO, Scope Ratings forecasts

Figure 6: Debt-to-GDP forecasts, % of GDP



Source: IMF WEO, Scope Ratings forecasts


¹ Sovereign Quantitative Model

External economic risk

Overview of Scope's assessments of Georgia's External Economic Risk


SQM indicative rating	Analytical component	Assessment	Notch adjustment	Rationale
C	Current account resilience	Weak	-1/3	Structural current-account deficits, significant reliance on tourism-sector revenues and remittances, slowdown of FDI inflows, risk from the escalation of sanctions
	External debt structure	Neutral	0	Significant although improving net international liability position; historical record of concessional donor financing; declining levels of gross external debt
	Resilience to short-term external shocks	Weak	-1/3	Dollarisation, significant external financing requirements and declining levels of reserves weigh on the resilience of the external sector, suspension of the IMF Stand-by Arrangement

Figure 7: Current-account balance, % of GDP



Source: IMF WEO forecasts, Scope Ratings

Figure 8: Net international investment position (NIIP), % GDP




Source: IMF, Scope Ratings

Financial stability risk

Overview of Scope's assessments of Georgia's Financial Stability Risk


SQM indicative rating	Analytical component	Assessment	Notch adjustment	Rationale
aaa	Banking sector performance	Neutral	0	Reduced non-performing loans (NPLs) and strengthened capitalisation of the banking system with higher profitability
	Financial sector oversight and governance	Neutral	0	Past strengthening of financial-system supervision and the regulatory framework including via application of the IMF Financial Sector Assessment Program recommendations; but recent doubts around central-bank independence and suspension of the IMF programme
	Financial imbalances	Neutral	0	Elevated dollarisation with about half of banking-system loans and deposits denominated in foreign currency – although dollarisation has declined moderately; low private-sector debt

Figure 9: NPLs, % of total loans



Source: World Bank (WB), Scope Ratings

Figure 10: Tier 1 capital, % of risk-weighted assets




Source: IMF, Scope Ratings

Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) risk

Overview of Scope's assessments of Georgia's ESG Risk


SQM indicative rating	Analytical component	Assessment	Notch adjustment	Rationale
bbb	Environmental factors	Neutral	0	Substantive environmental risks such as concerning air pollution and the transition from fossil-fuel dependency, but mitigated by coordinated policy counter-measures
	Social factors	Neutral	0	High, although rapidly declining, rates of poverty, adverse demographics but recent significant immigration, elevated although declining unemployment
	Governance factors	Weak	-1/3	Domestic political risks and polarisation adversely affect the quality of policy making and the strength of democratic institutions; 2024 elections have increased divisions; increasing Russian influence in policy symbolised by the passage of the foreign-agent law; risk of escalating sanctions against Georgia; EU accession suspended by the EU and accession talks postponed most recently by the government until late 2028

Figure 11: CO₂ emissions per GDP, mtCO₂e (2023)



Source: European Commission (EC), Scope Ratings

Figure 12: Old-age dependency ratio, %



Source: United Nations (UN), Scope Ratings

Reserve-currency adjustment


IMF SDR basket and Scope reserve-currency adjustment

Currency	U.S. dollar	Euro	Chinese yuan	Japanese yen	Pound sterling	Other
IMF SDR basket weights, %	43.4	29.3	12.3	7.6	7.4	0.0
Positive adjustment, notches	3	1	1	1	1	0

Source: IMF, Scope Ratings

Political-risk adjustment

Figure 13: WB Political Stability & Absence of Violence/Terrorism index, Georgia, three-year moving average




Source: WB, Scope Ratings

Additional considerations

A two-notch extraordinary downside adjustment is applied to the long-term issuer ratings, reflecting one notch for: 1) elevated geopolitical risks faced by the nation given the geographical proximity to and a history of aggression from the Russian Federation alongside unsettled disputes over the separatist regions of South Ossetia and Abkhazia; and one notch for: 2) heightened domestic institutional risks and the associated risks of escalation of sanctions from Western partners, following the slippage in democratic norms, disputed elections late last year and heavy-handed responses against peaceful protestors.

Appendix 1. Sovereign default history

Sovereign default history, USD m



Depicted private-debt defaults may not always constitute a credit event under [Scope's credit-rating definitions](#).
 Source: Bank of Canada–Bank of England Sovereign Default Database, Scope Ratings.

Appendix 2. Rating peers

Rating peers are related to sovereigns with an indicative rating in the same rating category or adjacent categories, as assigned by Scope's sovereign quantitative model after accounting for methodological reserve-currency and political-risk adjustments.

Peer group*
China
Greece
Romania
Serbia
Türkiye

*Select publicly-rated sovereigns only; the full sample of sovereign-rating peers may be larger.

Appendix 3. Economic development and default indicators

IMF Development Classification

Emerging market and developing economy

5y USD CDS spread (bps)

n/a

Appendix 4. Statistical table for selected SQM indicators

This table presents a selection of the indicators (24 out of 30 – with the governance indicator reflecting a composite of five indicators) used in Scope's quantitative model, in line with Scope's Sovereign Rating Methodology. The metrics and sources for the data presented here ensure comparability across global country peers and may therefore differ from data from national and other select international statistical series.

Pillar	Core variable	Source	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Domestic Economic	GDP per capita (PPP), Int\$ '000s	IMF	16.8	16.8	18.8	22.6	24.9	28.3
	Nominal GDP, USD bn	IMF	18	16	19	25	31	34
	Real growth, %	IMF	5.4	-6.3	10.6	11.0	7.8	9.4
	CPI inflation, %	IMF	4.9	5.2	9.6	11.9	2.5	1.1
	Unemployment rate, %	WB	11.6	11.7	-	-	-	-
Public Finance	Public debt, % of GDP	IMF	40.0	59.6	49.1	39.2	38.9	36.1
	Net interest payments, % of government revenue	IMF	4.6	6.2	5.2	4.0	5.4	5.8
	Primary balance, % of GDP	IMF	-0.6	-7.6	-4.7	-1.2	-0.9	-0.7
External Economic	Current-account balance, % of GDP	IMF	-6.0	-12.4	-10.3	-4.4	-5.6	-4.4
	Total reserves, months of imports	WB	3.2	4.4	3.8	3.1	2.8	-
	NIIP, % of GDP	IMF	-137.0	-157.5	-141.3	-113.6	-97.9	-88.9
Financial Stability	NPL ratio, % of total loans	IMF	1.9	2.3	1.9	1.5	1.5	1.5
	Tier 1 ratio, % of risk-weighted assets	IMF	14.1	11.8	13.4	16.2	17.5	19.1
	Credit to the private sector, % of GDP	WB	65.0	79.0	72.9	63.1	66.1	-
ESG	CO ₂ per EUR 1,000 of GDP, mtCO ₂ e	EC	176.2	182.1	173.2	162.3	153.8	-
	Income share of bottom 50%, %	WID	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Labour-force participation rate, %	WB	68.6	68.8	66.2	68.8	68.9	68.8
	Old-age dependency ratio, %	UN	22.8	23.2	23.5	23.7	24.1	24.6
	Composite governance indicators*	WB	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	-
	Political stability, index	WB	-0.4	-0.5	-0.5	-0.4	-0.4	-

*Average of the following five World Bank Worldwide Governance Indicators: Control of Corruption, Voice and Accountability, Rule of Law, Government Effectiveness, Regulatory Quality.

Analyst

Thomas Gillet
+49 30 278911-25
t.gillet@scoperatings.com

Associate Analyst

Brian Marly
+33 186 261 882
b.marly@scoperatings.com

Team Leader

Alvise Lennkh-Yunus
+49 69 6677389-85
a.lennkh@scoperatings.com

Applied methodology

[Sovereign Rating Methodology](#), January 2025

Scope Ratings GmbH

Lennéstraße 5, D-10785 Berlin
Phone: +49 30 27891-0
Fax: +49 30 27891-100
info@scoperatings.com



Bloomberg: RESP SCOP
[Scope contacts](#)
[scoperatings.com](https://www.scoperatings.com)

Disclaimer

© 2025 Scope SE & Co. KGaA and all its subsidiaries including Scope Ratings GmbH, Scope Ratings UK Limited, Scope Fund Analysis GmbH, Scope Innovation Lab GmbH and Scope ESG Analysis GmbH (collectively, Scope). All rights reserved. The information and data supporting Scope's ratings, rating reports, rating opinions and related research and credit opinions originate from sources Scope considers to be reliable and accurate. Scope does not, however, independently verify the reliability and accuracy of the information and data. Scope's ratings, rating reports, rating opinions, or related research and credit opinions are provided 'as is' without any representation or warranty of any kind. In no circumstance shall Scope or its directors, officers, employees and other representatives be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental or other damages, expenses of any kind, or losses arising from any use of Scope's ratings, rating reports, rating opinions, related research or credit opinions. Ratings and other related credit opinions issued by Scope are, and have to be viewed by any party as, opinions on relative credit risk and not a statement of fact or recommendation to purchase, hold or sell securities. Past performance does not necessarily predict future results. Any report issued by Scope is not a prospectus or similar document related to a debt security or issuing entity. Scope issues credit ratings and related research and opinions with the understanding and expectation that parties using them will assess independently the suitability of each security for investment or transaction purposes. Scope's credit ratings address relative credit risk, they do not address other risks such as market, liquidity, legal, or volatility. The information and data included herein is protected by copyright and other laws. To reproduce, transmit, transfer, disseminate, translate, resell, or store for subsequent use for any such purpose the information and data contained herein, contact Scope Ratings GmbH at Lennéstraße 5, D-10785 Berlin. Public Ratings are generally accessible to the public. Subscription Ratings and Private Ratings are confidential and may not be shared with any unauthorised third party.