

## **Republic of Finland**

This annex refers to the release of the monitoring note of 21 May 2021

Annex I: Summary of core variable scorecard, reserve currency adjustment and qualitative scorecard<sup>1</sup>

	Implied rating of core variable scorecard (CVS)	aa+					
	Reserve currency adjustment	1					
Weight	Qualitative scorecard (QS) for long-term issuer ratings						
20%	Growth potential of the economy	Weak					
	Monetary policy framework	Neutral					
	Macro-economic stability and sustainability	Neutral					
	Fiscal policy framework	Neutral					
20%	Debt sustainability	Neutral					
	Debt profile and market access	Neutral					
	Current account resilience	Weak					
20%	External debt structure	Weak					
	Resilience to short-term shocks	Neutral					
	Banking sector performance	Neutral					
20%	Banking sector oversight	Neutral					
	Financial imbalances	Weak					
	Environmental risks	Neutral					
20%	Social risks	Neutral					
	Institutional and political risks	Strong					
	QS adjustment (notches)	-1					
	Additional considerations (notches)	0					
	Final rating	AA+ STA					

## Annex II: Selected indicators

Selected macroeconomic indicators	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020E	2021F	2022F
GDP per capita (USD '000s), EOP	43.9	46.4	50.1	48.7	49.0	54.3	57.3
Real GDP, % change	2.8	3.2	1.3	1.3	-2.8	2.5	2.5
Unemployment rate, %	9.0	8.8	7.4	6.7	7.8	8.5	8.0
CPI, % change	0.4	0.8	1.2	1.1	0.4	1.4	1.5
Policy rate, %, EOP*	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5	
General government balance, % of GDP	-1.7	-0.7	-0.9	-1.0	-5.4	-4.5	-3.4
General government debt, % of GDP, EOP	63.2	61.2	59.6	59.3	69.2	70.8	71.5
Current account balance, % of GDP	-2.0	-0.8	-1.9	-0.2	0.8	1.5	1.4

Yearly averages except where stated otherwise. \*Shown for the ECB deposit facility rate. Source: IMF, Statistics Finland, Scope Ratings GmbH

Annex III: Economic development and default indicators

IMF Development Classification <sup>2</sup>	AE
5y USD CDS spread (bps) as of 21 May 2021	12

<sup>1</sup> The weighting and explanation of all rating factors are described in Scope's 'Sovereign Ratings' methodology, available on www.scoperatings.com  $^{2}$  AE = advanced economy; EMDE = emerging market and developing economy

## Annex IV: Analytical rationale for QS assessments

Finland	May 2021	Assessment	Rationale				
Peers*	Austria, Germany, Ireland, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Denmark, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland						
Domestic economic risk	Growth potential	Weak	Resilient economy, relatively modest growth potential				
	Monetary policy framework	Neutral	ECB is a highly credible and effective central bank; appropriate central bank response to the global crisis since 2020				
	Macro-economic stability & sustainability	Neutral	Competitive economy, favourable business environment and highly skilled labour force, counterbalanced by lesser economic diversification				
Public finance risk	Fiscal policy framework	Neutral	Expansionary fiscal policy stance in support of economic recovery				
	Debt sustainability	Neutral	Debt set to remain on upward trajectory in the medium term				
₽≣	Debt profile & market access	Neutral	Excellent government market access, low government financing costs, in line with that of peers				
External economic risk	Current account resilience	Weak	Surplus in the trade balance sufficient to offset persistent deficits in the secondary income and services balances; structural external competitiveness weaknesses				
	External debt structure	Weak	Elevated and increasing external debt, reflecting liabilities of Finnish financial institutions; a large share is short-term external debt				
	Resilience to short-term shocks	Neutral	Small and open economy, benefits from euro-area membership				
Financial stability risk	Banking sector performance	Neutral	High banking system capitalisation levels, but declining profitability				
	Banking sector oversight	Neutral	Oversight under the Bank of Finland and the ECB as part of Banking Union				
	Financial imbalances	Weak	High private-sector debt levels, large size of the banking sector vis-à-vis the real economy, price imbalances in the real estate market				
ESG risk	Environmental risks	Neutral	Good track record in environmental sustainability and governance; ongoing development of a medium- term climate policy plan to achieve objective of carbon neutrality by 2035				
	Social risks	Neutral	Weaker demographics, but strong income and gender equality, high-quality education system				
	Institutional and political risks	Strong	Very high quality of institutions and stable policy environment				

\*Peers relate to selected sovereigns with a similar indicative rating per Scope's Core Variable Scorecard.