

Republic of Lithuania

This annex refers to the release of the rating action of 29 January 2021

Annex I: Summary of core variable scorecard, reserve currency adjustment and qualitative scorecard¹

	Implied rating of core variable scorecard (CVS)	aa-					
	Reserve currency adjustment	1					
Weight	Qualitative scorecard (QS) for long-term issuer ratings						
20%	Growth potential of the economy	Weak					
	Monetary policy framework	Neutral					
	Macro-economic stability and sustainability	Weak					
20%	Fiscal policy framework	Weak					
	Debt sustainability	Neutral					
	Debt profile and market access	Neutral					
20%	Current account resilience	Weak					
	External debt structure	Neutral					
	Resilience to short-term shocks	Weak					
20%	Banking sector performance	Neutral					
	Banking sector oversight	Neutral					
	Financial imbalances	Weak					
20%	Environmental risks	Weak					
	Social risks	Weak					
	Institutional and political risks	Neutral					
	QS adjustment (notches)	-3					
	Additional considerations (notches)	0					
	Final rating	A STA					

Annex II: Selected indicators

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020E	2021F	2022F
GDP per capita (EUR '000s)	13.6	14.9	16.2	17.5	17.3	18.3	19.3
Real GDP, % change	2.5	4.3	3.9	4.3	-1.5	2.5	2.5
HICP, % change	0.7	3.7	2.5	2.2	1.3	1.5	1.7
General government balance, % of GDP	0.2	0.5	0.6	0.3	-8.0	-6.0	-2.8
General government debt, % of GDP	39.7	39.1	33.7	35.9	46.0	51.0	51.0
Current account balance, % of GDP	-0.8	0.6	0.3	3.3	8.0	4.5	2.0
Gross external debt, % of GDP	86.3	82.6	78.1	67.7	-	-	-

Source: Macrobond, European Commission, IMF, Statistics Lithuania, Bank of Lithuania, Scope Ratings GmbH

Annex III: Economic development and default indicators

IMF Development Classification² ΑE 60 5y USD CDS spread (bps) as of 27 January 2021

¹ The weighting and explanation of all rating factors are described in Scope's 'Sovereign Ratings' methodology, available on www.scoperatings.com

² AE = advanced economy; EMDE = emerging market and developing economy

Annex IV: Analytical rationale for QS assessments

Lithuania	January 2021	Assessment	Rationale			
Peers*	Estonia, Slovenia, Czech Republic, Finland, Austria					
Domestic economic risk	Growth potential	Weak	Medium-run growth potential faces travails from adverse demographics			
	Monetary policy framework	Neutral	ECB is a highly credible and effective central bank; appropriate central bank response to the 2020 global crisis			
	Macro-economic stability & sustainability	Weak	Shortages of skilled labour, gradual recovery in the labour market			
Public finance risk	Fiscal policy framework	Weak	Track record of fiscal prudence, but still-sizeable shadow economy and comparatively restricted tax base			
Publi ance	Debt sustainability	Neutral	Moderate but rising debt levels			
iji iji	Debt profile & market access	Neutral	Improving debt structure, long debt maturity, ability to issue on favourable terms			
External economic risk	Current account resilience	Weak	Risks from higher reliance on transport services' exports and higher share of lower technology exports			
	External debt structure	Neutral	Share of direct investment in external liabilities in line with CEE peers, falling levels of net external debt			
	Resilience to short-term shocks	Weak	Very small-open economy			
Financial stability risk	Banking sector performance	Neutral	Well-capitalised and profitable banking sector with a low NPL ratio; profitability and asset quality impacted by Covid-19 crisis			
	Banking sector oversight	Neutral	Oversight under the Bank of Lithuania and the ECB as part of Banking Union			
	Financial imbalances	Weak	Concentration and spill-over risks in the banking system from dominant Nordic banking groups			
ESG risk	Environmental risks	Weak	Transition risks in line with peers, improving but still lower policy measures compared to peers			
	Social risks	Weak	Above-EU-average poverty ratio, relatively high income inequality, adverse demographics			
	Institutional and political risks	Neutral	Comparatively stable governance framework, supported by EU and euro area memberships			

^{*}Peers relate to selected sovereigns with a similar indicative rating per Scope's Core Variable Scorecard.